

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/939,235	ARMSTRONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2195

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

Status of Application: Amendment after Non-final

(3) _____

(2) Doug Scholer.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2007

Time: 5pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 16, 31, and 33

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims as such in the Examiner's Amendment to incorporate allowable subject matter.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MENG-AL T. AN
M
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 USPTO - DCY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)